

Application No. 10/062,811 Applicant(s)

Magee, T.V. et al. Art Unit

Interview Summary	Examiner CHARANJIT AULAKH	1625	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):		
(1) CHARANJIT AULAKH	(3)		
(2) Robert T. Ronau	(4)		
Date of Interview	_		
Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes	2)☐ applicant's representat e)☒ No. If yes, brief descri	ive] ption:	
Claim(s) discussed: Identification of prior art discussed:			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reach Substance of Interview including description of the generally other comments: A telephone call was made to the applicant's attorney, response was filed to the restriction requirement (paper abandonment since no response was filed and further attorneyive it.	eral nature of what was agreed Mr. Robert T. Ronau on Nov. 1 er no. 2 mailed on April 15, 2 advised that the abandonment v	2, 2002 to inqu	ney confirmed the
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the a allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where available, a summary thereof must be attached.) i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FINCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (Sealready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONT SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of	separate record of the substan FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO T See MPEP section 713.04). If a	ce of the intervi	ew (if box is checked). CE ACTION MUST Office action has

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required